

Simply stated, Plaintiff of	could not have brought this action in federal court in
the first place, in that Removing Defendants do not competently allege facts	
supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is	
improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a)	; see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc.,
545 U.S. 546, 563 (2005). Even if complete diversity of citizenship exists, the	
amount in controversy alleged in the State Complaint does not exceed the	
diversity-jurisdiction threshold	of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). Nor
does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. See 28	
U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).	
Accordingly, IT IS ORD	ERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the
Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, Chatsworth Courthouse, 9425	
Penfield Avenue #1200, Chatsworth, CA 91311, for lack of subject matter	
jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) the Clerk send a certified copy of	
this Order to the state court; an	d (3) the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the
parties.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
_	
DATED: Qy 23,2010	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	andy & Colle
	HONORABLE AUDREY B. COLLINS
D . 11	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
Presented by	
/s/	
Honorable Jacqueline Chooljian UNITED STATE MAGISTRATE JUDGE	